

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,730	07/27/2006	Gabriel Jacobus T. Lansbergen	F7762(V)	2498
201 LINII EVER P	7590 10/02/200 ATENT GROUP	9	EXAM	IINER
800 SYLVAN AVENUE PADEN, CAROLYN A			AROLYN A	
AG West S. W ENGLEWOOI	'ing D CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3:	100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2009	FI ECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patentgroupus@unilever.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/587,730	LANSBERGEN, GABRIEL JACOBUS T.
Examiner	Art Unit
Carolyn A. Paden	1794

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	05 July 2007.

2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4V\	Claim(a)	1 0 :0/000	nonding	in the	application

4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-9-06.

Office Action Summary

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __

6) Other: __

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/587,730

Art Unit: 1794

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carment (6,139,887 or 6,895,675) as further evidenced by Yap and Baileys taken together.

The patents to Carment disclose a powdery fat containing food made from salt and fat. In example 1, 80% hydrogenated palm oil is combined with 20% peanut oil and mixed with salt and monosodium glutamate to form a composition with 55% salt and 25% fat. The composition is processed in a roll refiner to form a powdery mixture. The claims appear to differ from Carment in the recitation of the fatty acid and triglyceride content of the fat used to make the composition. Yap is relied on for evidence of the fatty acid content of hydrogenated palm oil at table 1. The table shows that hydrogenation of palm oil aides in providing a palmitic/stearic acid ratio that is less than 4. The presence of peanut oil would not be expected to have a great impact on the ratio because peanut oil lacks a substantial amount of saturated fat as shown by Bailey's. The claims finally differ in

Art Unit: 1794

the recitation of the H3 plus H2U content. Although palm oil does not have this particular array of triglyceride, the hydrogenation of palm oil would be expected to substantially increase the H3 plus H2U due to the hydrogenation of oleic acid. It would have been obvious at the time of applicants' invention to expect that the composition of Carment would have been expected to be a powdery savory food composition with a fat composition that is very similar, if not identical, to that of the claims. One of ordinary skill in the art would be expected to adjust the extent of hydrogenated palm oil according to the melting characteristics desired in the final product.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached by dialing 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,730 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carolyn Paden/

Primary Examiner 1794